

## **University Procedures for Assistant Teaching Professor Reappointment 2026-27**

### **Reappointment**

An Assistant Teaching Professor appointment is made for an initial term of three years. Subsequent terms of three years are contingent on successful reappointment reviews (Collective Bargaining Agreement Art. [10.B.3](#); Faculty Handbook [3.1.2.1.2.1](#)). With approval of the Provost, a College or School may adopt a policy that establishes different terms of appointment. Reappointment is contingent upon superior performance.

In addition to meeting the requirements set in 3.1.2.1.2.1, Assistant Teaching Professors seeking reappointment to another renewable term must demonstrate superior performance in teaching, service, and professional activity that is appropriate to the academic discipline or professional field and that contributes to their primary responsibility for teaching. The standards for reappointment of Assistant Teaching Professors are described in [3.4A.1.1](#) of the Faculty Handbook<sup>1</sup> and Article [11.D](#) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement.

### **General Procedures**

#### **1. Target Dates for Reappointment**

January 28, 2026	Information session for Fall 2026 candidates for Assistant Teaching Professor reappointment, and candidates for promotion to Associate and full Teaching Professor, hosted by Faculty Development (11:45:-12:45 p.m., Varsi 222 - in person and Zoom).
Spring	Interfolio training sessions (see <a href="#">Faculty Affairs calendar</a> ).
August 13, 2026	Case created in Interfolio. If you need Interfolio training or assistance, contact Katie Williams, Senior Manager for Faculty Affairs ( <a href="mailto:kfwilliams@scu.edu">kfwilliams@scu.edu</a> ).
September 3, 2026	Process chair provides names of faculty participating in review to the Provost's Office ( <a href="mailto:kfwilliams@scu.edu">kfwilliams@scu.edu</a> ).
September 10, 2026	Candidate submits their case in Interfolio by 8:59 p.m. No applications will be accepted after this date, and no changes will be allowed to the case materials.
November 5, 2026	Department completes its evaluation and submits its recommendation to the Dean in Interfolio.
By June 5, 2026	After discussing the case with the Provost, the Dean makes the reappointment decision and notifies the candidate of it via email. Dean's Office staff upload the decision letter to the case. If the decision is negative, the candidate will receive a final one-year appointment.

---

<sup>1</sup> Currently titled "Standards for Reappointment of Lecturers," a pending revision to this section of the Handbook replaces "Lecturers" with "Assistant Teaching Professors."

## 2. Procedure for the Candidate

Candidates should carefully review the *Policies and Procedures for Reappointment and Promotion of Non-Tenure-Track Faculty* in Section [3.4A](#) of the Faculty Handbook and Article [11.D](#) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement.

Candidates must provide application materials electronically in Interfolio by the deadline listed above. The application for reappointment should include supporting documentation that will provide evidence of superior performance in teaching, service, and professional activity that is appropriate to the academic discipline or professional field and that contributes to their primary responsibility for teaching.

The candidate may upload these materials in Interfolio's Faculty180 module at any time during the year. Approximately a month before the reappointment application deadline, the candidate's case will be built automatically in Interfolio's RPT module, incorporating all entries from Faculty180 for the *most recent appointment term*. The candidate will be able to review their case and make adjustments up until the deadline in Faculty180; regenerating the case in RPT will pull any Faculty180 changes into the case.

The application must include the following materials, submitted electronically, for the most recent appointment term (Faculty180 sections are designated below with a ▶ ).

### Evidence of Superior Teaching

- ▶ Teaching
  - Include evidence of superior teaching for each distinct course under the teaching section. Materials must include:
    - Syllabus: course syllabi for each course<sup>2</sup>
    - Course Evaluation: SET reports<sup>3</sup>, narrative evaluations (if available)
    - Peer Evaluation: letters or other reports on the direct observation of teaching (if available)
    - Other: Any other materials that provide significant evidence of your teaching (e.g., major course materials such as customized course readers, exams and other assessments, and examples of assignments or in-class activities)
- ▶ Other Teaching (include evidence of curricular development, contributions to the learning environment, teaching awards or honors)

### Evidence of Professional Activity (some evidence required; you may not use all categories)

- ▶ Scholarly Contributions and Creative Productions
- ▶ Scholarly Plans (for publication/creative production)
- ▶ Other Professional Activity (conference attendance and presentations, professional practice, awards or honors)
- ▶ Professional Development (short courses, workshops, conferences designed to bring faculty up-to-date in their discipline or to advance pedagogical development)
- ▶ Grants - External (use if you have received grants)
- ▶ Grants - Internal (use if you have received grants)

---

<sup>2</sup> PDF format for course materials is recommended; see the [guide](#) for converting Camino course materials to PDF format. If you link to online materials, we recommend pointing reviewers to specific artifacts using a Word document with links (in the [video guide](#) for uploading materials to Faculty180, you will find instructions at 3.17). Please note: Academic Technology makes courses inaccessible on Camino after one year and one term.

<sup>3</sup> You are responsible to upload your individual SET reports for each class. The Provost's Office will supply a SET analysis that collates your numerical results across the review period, comparing these to results in your department and school. This report will be emailed to you before your submission deadline, for your review. You do not need to upload it to your case; Provost's Office staff will do this for you.

## Evidence of Service Contributions

- ▶ University, School, or Department Service
- ▶ Major Professional Service
- ▶ Major Community Service
- ▶ Administrative Service

## Faculty Activities Reports


- ▶ Historical FARs & FAR Evaluation Letters (include your original FARs **and** your annual evaluation letters from the department). Even if you completed your FAR in Interfolio, you still need to take this step and upload the individual evaluation letter and report to Fac180.

A chronological listing of courses taught by year including enrollments will be provided within Faculty180 and will appear in the case. If a course is missing from this list please reach out to Katie Williams ([kfwilliams@scu.edu](mailto:kfwilliams@scu.edu)). If a majority of the candidate's teaching is done outside of the traditional classroom, the candidate should provide additional evidence such as narrative evaluations. A personal statement and CV may be submitted but are not required (if you do include them, please use the designated activities categories in Faculty180). Letters from external reviewers are not allowed as part of the file unless approved in advance by the dean. Other supporting materials are not required.

Candidates may not add or remove any application materials after the deadline. The subsequent steps depend on the outcome of the department recommendation

The dean shall notify the candidate of the decision in writing. If reappointment is denied, the faculty member will be advised upon request of the reasons that contributed to the decision. If the faculty member is in their first three-year term as an Assistant Teaching Professor, the decision is not subject to appeal. Faculty in their second or later three-year term as an Assistant Teaching Professor may request reconsideration of the decision. The process for reconsideration of a negative reappointment decision is described in section [3.4A.1.4](#) of the Faculty Handbook.<sup>4</sup>

It is recommended that the candidate upload the notification letter(s) to the “Reappointment & Promotion Decision Letters” activities category in Faculty180/Interfolio.



<sup>4</sup> A pending revision of this Faculty Handbook section would redesignate the section “Reconsideration of a Decision Not to Reappoint an Assistant Teaching Professor.”

### **3. Procedure for the Department for Reappointment Review**

The Faculty Handbook outlines Procedures for Review of Applications for Reappointment of Lecturers ([3.4A.1.3](#))<sup>5</sup> which informs these Guidelines. Specifically, each department shall review these applications in accordance with procedures set by the dean. As the department reviews the candidate's application, the Standards for Reappointment of Lecturers ([3.4A.1.1](#))<sup>6</sup> and Article [11.D](#) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement should guide a comprehensive evaluation of the application.

All tenured faculty and Teaching Professors in the applicant's department shall be eligible to participate in the review of applications. While it is not yet policy, it is best practice to assure that at least one Teaching Professor participates in the department review.<sup>7</sup> If a department does not have any Teaching Professors, a Teaching Professor from another department with similar teaching responsibilities may be selected by the dean, in consultation with the chair and candidate, and will participate fully with department colleagues in the review. With the approval of the dean, faculty in a large department who are eligible to participate may elect a committee of eligible faculty to conduct the review on behalf of the whole department. If such a committee is to be elected, approval of the dean should be requested no later than a week before the candidates' submission deadline. The process chair in each department will notify Provost's Office staff of the names of faculty participating in the review no later than a week before the candidate's submission deadline, so that their names can be added to the Interfolio case.

All application materials should be carefully considered.

The department or committee must meet to discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate. The department shall either *highly recommend*, *recommend*, or *not recommend* reappointment. The department will prepare a single report, indicating the overall recommendation for reappointment (without a vote tally) at the beginning, followed by an explanation of the recommendation. This document may be prepared and shared on Google Drive (with access restricted to participants) until it is complete. A template for the report is available at the Reappointment section of the [Evaluation, Reappointment & Promotion](#) page on the Provost Office website, in the "Assistant Teaching Professor Reappointment" section.

The process chair shall convey the recommendation and report of the department to the dean through Interfolio by the deadline listed above:

- 1) Completing a form indicating the overall recommendation of the department (highly recommend, recommend, do not recommend), and
- 2) Uploading the report in PDF form.

### **4. Procedure for the Dean (Dean Decision step)**

The dean, in some cases in consultation with the Provost, shall make the final decision and inform the candidate of that decision in writing. The dean will upload the notification letter to the case in Interfolio and change the status of the case. Provost's Office staff will subsequently close the case.

---

<sup>5</sup> A pending revision of this Faculty Handbook section is tentatively titled "Procedures for Review of Reappointment Applications" and will correct the job title from the former Lecturers (for Renewable-term Lecturers) to Assistant Teaching Professors.

<sup>6</sup> Currently titled "Standards for Reappointment of Lecturers," a pending revision to this section of the Handbook replaces "Lecturers" with "Assistant Teaching Professors."

<sup>7</sup> A proposal to this effect is part of a pending revision of the Faculty Handbook sections on reappointment and promotion in the Teaching Professor ranks.